## Acceptance form

We are pleased to inform you that we would like to have/maintain your name among the reviewers of the "Analele Universității din Craiova - Seria Inginerie Electrică" journal. We kindly ask you to send us this signed acceptance form.

## **Guidelines for Reviewers**

The Golden Rule **"Treat others as you would like to be treated"**, apply very well for the most general and essential guidelines for reviewers. Siegelman (1988) adapted this golden rule of the Ethics of Reciprocity in what might be called the Golden Rule of Reviewing. He stated **"Referee manuscript as you would like to have your own papers treated"** (Siegelman, 1988, p. 360).

Consequently, we recommend the reviewers to rate the article being reviewed according the following criteria:

- 1. **Originality:** Not known or experienced before. A technique or a method not used before. Has this or similar work been previously reported? Are the problems and/or approaches in the paper completely new?
- 2. **Novelty:** According this criterion, it is not necessary for the paper to develop new techniques, or to generate new knowledge, but it should, at least, apply, or combine, them in a fresh and novel way or shed some new light on their applicability in a certain domain.
- 3. **Innovation:** A new product, process or service based on new or known technologies, methods or methodologies. Known technologies and techniques might be combined to generate new product or service with potential users in the market. What defines an innovation is a new kind of possible users of a product or a service, not necessarily new knowledge, new techniques, new technologies, new methods, or new applications. Innovation is related to new uses or new markets.
- 4. **Relevance:** Importance, usefulness, and/or applicability of the ideas, methods and/or techniques described in the paper.
- 5. **Appropriateness:** Suitability, agreeableness, compatibility, congruity, and adequacy of the paper to the areas and topics of the journal or the conference. Would the article perhaps better be presented at another conference?
- 6. **Significance:** Importance and noteworthiness of the ideas, methods and techniques used and/or described in the article. The problem approached in the article should be interesting and natural, and not just be chosen by the authors because it can be attacked by their methods. What it is presented in the article is not just obvious and trivial ideas.
- 7. **Quality:** Scientific, technical, and/or methodological soundness of the article. Correctness of results, proofs and/or reflections. Inclusion in the articles of details that allow checking the correctness of the results or citations of articles where can be found the proof or parts of it.
- 8. **Presentation:** Adequate organization of the article and the language used in it, as to make its content clear, easily readable and understandable. Clarity in what has been achieved by the author of the article. Even technical papers on a narrow topic should be written such that non-experts can comprehend the main contribution of the paper and the methods employed. The paper shouldn't just be a litany of deep but obscure theorems. The information of the paper should be available to the reader with a minimum of effort.

If you agree with the above criteria, please sign this form and send a scan by email to:

aucv-ee@elth.ucv.ro

Title, Surname Name

Institution

Address

Signature

Fax

Phone

Competencies for papers evaluation – see the sections list from the Topics (eg. 1.4; 4.1 ..)